

United States Patent and Tradestark Office Address COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS Advanced by Message 1999 Advanced by Message 1999 Advanced by Message 1999

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,208	01/14/2004	Joseph W. Coburn JR.	15254C	3563

R. GALE RHODES, JR.

Suite 100 595 Shrewsbury Avenue Shrewsbury, NJ 07702

	EXAMINER NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L				
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/757,208	COBURN, JOSEPH W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shoot with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of Kinth Ten of This Communication.  Extension of Kinth Ten of This Communication of This Communication.  If the period for repty specifies above is less then thirty (20 days, a result of the period for repty is specified every in specified state of the communication.  If the period for repty sixth the section of the thirty (20 days, a report of the period for repty is specified above is less then thirty (30 days, a report of the period for repty is specified above is less than the thirty of the period for the pe	136(e). In no event, however, may a reply to be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) de will apply and will expens SIX (6) MONTHS from a context the evolutions to become a SIAMECAN	mely filed ys will be considered timely in the mailing data of this communication. FID CIS U.S. C. 6 1339.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ol>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
<ul> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attechment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06	Paper No(s)/Mail	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paner No(s)Mail Date	6) Cother:					

Application No.

1) [ 2) [ 3) [ US Peters and Tredemark Office PTOL-325 (Rev. 1-04) Applicant(s)

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the set to which said subject matter portains. Patentiability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futhey et al. (USPN 5.840.407) in view of Tachikawa et al. (USPN 6.577.588)

Futhey et al. disclose a optically decorative material (Column 1, lines 5 – 8) having a layer of transparent polymeric material having opposed sides (Column 3, lines 48 – 55), wherein one of the sides contains a series of ridges and grooves and the other is a smooth surface (Column 3, lines 57 – 61). A layer of transparent adhesive is applied to the smooth surface of the material (Column 3, lines 62 – 67 and Figure 3, #40). The surface of the ridges and grooves is also coated with a layer of metallic reflective material (Column 8, lines 21 – 26 and Figure 11, #118), and the smooth surface of the polymeric material is coated with a metal coating in another embodiment (Figure 3, #32 and Column 9, lines 40 – 42). Figure 7 shows the transparent polymeric material (Column 7, lines 57 – 65) having parallel ridges formed by facets defining a convex surface formed by adjacent facets. As shown by Figures 5 and 12, other layers may be adhered to the ridge and groove surface of the polymeric material. However, Futhey et al. fail to disclose a layer of substantially transparent color effect producing material mounted to one of said opposed surfaces, wherein the layer of color effect producing material is a layer of

substantially transparent colored polymeric material, the material being mounted by a layer of substantially transparent adhesive, wherein it is a substantially transparent colored adhesive, wherein the adhesive is a layer of substantially clear colored adhesive of the same color a said layer of substantially transparent polymeric material, wherein the color effect material is an iridescent material having an iridescent color effect image and wherein the transparent color effect producing material is mounted to the side of the polymeric material having ridges and grooves.

Tachikawa et al. teaches a layer of transparent color iridescent effect producing material that is an outer layer of material (Column 1, lines 65 – 67 and Figures 3 – 4) made with transparent coloring ink in combination with a polymer and binder (Column 4, lines 35 – 42 and Column 5, lines 27 – 53) that is mounted to the side of the polymeric material having ridges and grooves (Figures 3 and 4) through the use of an adhesive material (Column 5, lines 54 – 63), wherein the ridges and grooves are coated with a layer of metallic material (Column 3, lines 60 – 65) in a retroreflective trim material for the purpose of reflecting back a color that may be stotted by an observer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the layer of transparent color effect producing material adhered to a polymeric material containing ridges and grooves in Futhey et al. in order to reflect back a color that may be spotted by an observer as taught by Tachikawa et al Regarding claims 4 – 7, Futhey et al. disclosed the optically decorative material having a layer of transparent polymeric material having opposed sides. Further, Tachikawa et al. teach a layer of transparent color iridescent effect producing material that is an outer layer of material made with transparent coloring ink in combination with a polymer and binder (Column 4, lines 35 – 42 and Column 5, lines 27 – 53). Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to make the adhesive of Futhey et al. transparent color adhesive since Tachikawa et al teach the transparent color would be a matter of choice of transparent color as shown above.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futhey
et al. in view of Tachikawa et al. and further in view of Martin (USPN 5,888,618).

Futhey et al., as modified with Tachikawa et al. disclose a optically decorative material (Column 1, lines 5 – 8) having a layer of transparent polymeric material having opposed sides (Column 3, lines 48 – 55), wherein one of the sides contains a series of ridges and grooves and the other is a smooth surface (Column 3, lines 57 – 61). A layer of transparent adhesive is applied to the smooth surface of the material (Column 3, lines 62 – 67 and Figure 3, #40). The surface of the ridges and grooves is also coated with a layer of metallic reflective material (Column 8, lines 21 – 26 and Figure 11, #138), and the smooth surface of the polymeric material is coated with a metal coating in another embodiment (Figure 3, #32 and Column 9, lines 40 – 42). Figure 7 shows the transparent polymeric material (Column 7, lines 57 – 65) having parallel ridges formed by facets defining a convex surface formed by adiacent facets. As shown by

Figures 5 and 12, other layers may be adhered to the ridge and groove surface of the polymeric material. A layer of transparent color iridescent effect producing material that is an outer layer of material made with transparent coloring ink in combination with a polymer and binder that is mounted to the side of the polymeric material. However, Futhey et al. and Tachikawa et al. fail to disclose said layer of product mounting adhesive is applied to said smooth opposed surface and wherein the color effect producing material is applied to the smooth surface of polymeric material.

Martin teaches a layer of transparent color effect producing material (Column 2, lines 64 - 67, Figures 1 - 3 and 4e - g, #14 and Column 4, lines 26 - 31) that is mounted to the smooth side of a polymeric material having ridges and grooves (Column 4, lines 47 - 50 and Figures 1 - 3, #14 and 18) through the use of an adhesive material (Column 4, lines 54 - 63) in a retroreflective trim material for the purpose of maintaining the retroreflective properties of the retroreflective structure (Column 5, lines 1 - 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the layer of transparent color effect producing material adhered to a polymeric material containing ridges and grooves in Futhey et al. in order to maintain the retroreflective properties of the retroreflective structure by an observer as taught by Martin.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 7.00-4:30 & alternate.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this amplication or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR
system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR
system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1772 L

HAROLD PYON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

s/25/04